**CASE**

### Schwannoma of the Tongue: Ultrasonography
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**SUMMARY**

Schwannoma is a rare benign tumor originated from the Schwann cells of the nerve sheath. Other common names are neurilemmoma, neurinoma, and neurinoma of Verocay. The tumor is encapsulated and shows slow growth reaching even the 8.5-cm size. The purpose of this paper is to provide clinical presentation, sonogram and ultrasound video of schwannoma of the tongue, its analysis along with intraoperative and histopathological data. A 27-year-old female patient with tongue schwannoma is presented and analysis of the published schwannoma cases in different anatomical areas is performed. Distinctive sonographic features of this type of tumor are showed and comparison with the other tongue masses is highlighted. Ultrasonography proved its efficacy as a first-line diagnostic tool which needs to be popularized among oral and maxillofacial surgeons.
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INTRODUCTION

Schwannoma is a rare benign tumor originated from the Schwann cells of the nerve sheath. Other common names are neurinoma, neurinoma of Verocay, and neurilemmoma. The tumor is encapsulated and shows slow growth reaching even 8- or 8.5-cm size.

In contrast to other studies, which demonstrate data from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination in schwannomas and a limited number of ultrasound (US) images, the purpose of this article is to provide video imaging of schwannoma of the tongue, its analysis along with clinical, intraoperative, and histopathological data.

CASE

A 27-year-old female patient presented to the Center of Maxillofacial Surgery and Dentistry in 2016 with tongue asymmetry due to the expansive lesion (Fig 1). The lesion had been growing insidiously for last several years. Tongue examination revealed a firm, soft, lobulated mass on the right tongue, painless upon palpation. Overlying mucosa was slightly erythematous. Real-time gray scale and color Doppler US with its interpretation has been done by O.S.C. (her experience in head and neck US is 16 years).

FIGURE 1. Preoperative view (A, B) of a 27-year-old female patient with tongue asymmetry due to the expansive lobulated lesion (arrows). Printed with permission and copyrights retained by V.H.D.
US was performed with the patient in the supine position, sticking out the tongue, and using an Esaote MyLabTM Seven machine (Esaote S.p.A, Genoa, Italy) and a 3- to 19-MHz linear array transducer, wrapped in a plastic film. The patient was informed that the gel (ECO SUPERGEL, Ceracarta S.p.A., Forlì, Italy) used for US diagnostics is nontoxic. Gray-scale US (Fig 2) of the right tongue showed bilobed well-defined hypoechoic and slightly heterogeneous lesion (with dense echoic graininess). The lesion was hypoechoic relative to adjacent tongue muscles and weakly compressible. Long-to-short diameter of the

**FIGURE 2.** Gray-scale ultrasound (A) of the right tongue shows lobulated well-defined hypoechoic and slightly heterogeneous lesion (with dense echoic graininess). The lesion is hypoechoic relative to adjacent tongue (T) muscles and is weakly compressible. Long-to-short diameter of the lesion measured 1.69 × 0.9 cm (long diameter is indicated by Cyrillic letter with number (Д1) and two ‘+’ calipers in a horizontal direction; short diameter is indicated by Cyrillic letter with number (Д2) and two ‘+’ calipers in a vertical direction). The artifact of acoustic enhancement (asterisk) behind the lesion, edge artifact (circle), and reverberation lines (i.e., the reverberation artifact) (arrowhead) are visualized on the sonogram. No anechoic areas typical for cystic areas are visualized. Absence of the parallel echoic streaks typical for the majority of lipomas, presence of acoustic enhancement, and weak compressibility make this US appearance uncommon for the lipomas. The “depth” of cropped gray-scale sonogram is 2.0 cm. Red letter “e” at the upper left corner of the sonogram indicates on the probe’s side (corresponds to the probe bump and light [arrowhead]). B, position of the probe upon ultrasonography. Printed with permission and copyrights retained by O.S.C.
lesion measured $1.69 \times 0.9$ cm. The artifact of acoustic enhancement behind the lesion, edge artifact, and reverberation lines (i.e., the reverberation artifact) are visualized on the sonogram. No anechoic areas typical for cystic areas are visualized. Absence of the parallel echoic streaks typical for the majority of lipomas, presence of acoustic enhancement, and weak compressibility made this US appearance uncommon for the lipomas. Video (Supplemental Video Content) demonstrates color Doppler US of the right side of the tongue’s body. Tumor visualized as a heterogeneously hypoechoic mass. The prominent intratumoral vascularization and vascular motion artifact were noted. Video is available in the page of the full-text article on www.dtjournal.org and in the YouTube channel, available at https://youtube.com/shorts/qFO18Mnd-pQ?feature=share. Total video’s duration is 22 seconds. Surgical excision included a
margin of adjacent tissue and was performed under the general anesthesia. Specimen (Fig 3) visualized as a resected part of the tongue with a bilobed (dumbbell-shaped) yellow-white tumor inside. The tumor had well-circumscribed margins and was slightly tender on palpation. Macroscopically, the color of tumor mimicked lipoma. Figure 4 compares the tumor’s appearance on gray scale sonogram and macroscopically. The patient experienced no neurological disorder postoperatively.

Schwannoma was established as histopathological diagnosis (Fig 5) by three experienced doctor-pathologists (P.P.S., his experience is 18 years; V.I.Z., her experience is 23 years; K.M.S., her experience is 48 years). No recurrence has been observed in a 2-year follow-up period.

**FIGURE 3.** Macroscopic view of the specimen before (A) and after (B) the incision of the bilobed intramuscular tumor. Notes the resected part of the tongue (arrowhead) and yellow-white tumor (arrow) inside. The tumor had well-circumscribed margins and was slightly tender on palpation. Macroscopically, the color of this schwannoma mimicking lipoma.

**FIGURE 4.** Comparison of the tumor’s appearance on gray scale sonogram (A, schwannoma is a heterogeneously hypoechoic mass between ‘+’ calipers) and macroscopically (B, arrow labels schwannoma).
FIGURE 5. Microscopic view of the presented schwannoma of the tongue. Fibrous structures are grouped into bundles that are placed randomly or in some places form formations with “swirls” and numerous correctly oriented cells that form palisade and pseudopalisade-like structures (Verocay bodies). Hematoxylin and eosin stain. Magnification: A, ×40; B–D, ×100; E, ×400.
**DISCUSSION**

According to Kang et al (2007), neurilemmomas (i.e., schwannomas) may originate from any peripheral, cranial or autonomic nerves of the body (except of the olfactory and the optic nerves). Schwannomas reported in pancreas, kidneys, hands, thumbs, floor of the mouth, soft palate, maxillary alveolus, tongue, etc. Pfeifle et al (2021) indicate that total of 25 percent of neurilemmomas occur in the head and neck area, but only 1 percent are introraorally located.

Analysis performed by Kavčič and Božič (2016) revealed that schwannomas in tongue are noted in one of two locations—base (one-third of cases) and oral part (two-thirds of cases).

The analysis of the sonographic appearances of other tongue masses is well described in the literature. Konishi et al (2020) showed how huge the potential of tongue ultrasonography is, presenting the US examination of patients with primary tongue cancer.

Sugawara et al (2016) depicted the US appearance of different pathologies involving tongue—fibrous polyp, cavernous haemangioma, pyogenic granuloma, lipoma, liposarcoma, chondroma, lymphangioma, schwannoma, solitary neurofibroma, pleomorphic adenoma, and amyloidosis.

The case of schwannoma presented by Sugawara et al (2016) highlighted MRI, B-mode and power Doppler US, and histopathological features of the tumor in a 16-year-old male. Gray-scale US in their case showed an elliptical mass with a well-defined border and a comparatively homogeneous echo texture with posterior acoustic enhancement.

Power Doppler showed motion artifacts and did not allow to evaluate the tumor vascularity. In our case, despite the motion artifacts, a prominent intratumoral vascularity was noted.

Kang et al (2007) performed retrospective analysis of twenty one head and neck schwannomas managed in a single institution. Their study showed one case of the US appearance of the schwanna.

It was an accessory nerve schwannoma with a suprACLAVICULAR location which was visualized on gray-scale US as non-vascular well-defined cystic lesion containing dependant debris.

Cases presented in the reports of Pfeifle et al (2001) and Kavčič and Božič (2016), comparing with our case, demonstrate similar clinical presentation of the tongue schwannoma.

Describing the US features of the schwannoma, in our case we should apply the US features/criteria of the Ahuja et al (1998) for the differential diagnosis with head and neck lipomas.

1. Echogenicity, (2) shape and size, (3) internal architecture, (4) border, (5) distal enhancement, (6) compressibility, and (7) color flow are those features/criteria.

Elliptical (88 percent) and ovoid (12 percent) shape is typical for lipomas, but in our case the shape was lobulated. The fundamental sonographic study of Zhong et al (2004) showed that in 90 percent of cases the lipomas are hypoechoic with either echogenic spots or lines, and in 10 percent lipomas are either isoechoic or hypechoic.

So, according to Zhong et al (2004) and Ahuja et al (1998) sonographic appearance of lipomas is with echogenic spots/lines noted from 90 to 100 percent of cases. In our report, the tumor was hypoechoic, what corresponds to only 16 percent of lipomas. Clearly sonographically identified capsule of lipomas is noted in 88 percent of cases. Typically, none of the investigated lipomas showed an artifact of posterior enhancement or attenuation, but the presented tumor showed prominent acoustic enhancement. All lipomas are compressible with moderate probe pressure. Usually, head and neck lipomas showed no internal vascularity, and in our case the prominent intratumoral vascularity and a vascular motion artifact was noted. Recognition of a vascular motion artifact and artifact of acoustic enhancement is important, as its understanding will help in US examination of the tissues and tumor’s structure thus facilitating the differential diagnostics.

In summary, among all seven US features/criteria (Ahuja et al, 1998), the tumor in our case was positive only in one feature (hypoechoic echogenicity).

The profound review of the publications dedicated to tongue neurilemmomas published from 1955 to 2016 was performed by Lee et al (2017).

In our opinion, it is not correct to draw conclusions based on US patterns of schwannoma only in the area of the tongue, but it is worth knowing the options of US pictures of schwannoma in other anatomical areas. This will make possible understanding the entire palette of possible US patterns for schwannoma, which in turn will facilitate
differential diagnosis and increase the accuracy of establishing a preoperative diagnosis and choosing the most appropriate treatment tactics.

Summarizing sonographic appearances of the schwannoma in different anatomical locations worth to note that possible US patterns are solid, cystic, and mixed. Reynolds et al (2003) presented the US results more than worth of attention. Their team made sonographic comparison of two types of peripheral nerve sheath tumors—schwannomas and neurofibromas. In 83 percent the schwannomas were hypoechoic, 67 percent of schwannomas were homogeneous, 67 percent of neurilemmomas showed artifact of posterior acoustic enhancement, and 67 percent of neurilemmomas were centrally related to the involved peripheral nerve.

Increased flow on color Doppler US can be noted in the schwannoma cases (Beggs, 1999; Reynolds et al, 2003). These data are supported by our case.

Unlike schwannomas of the tongue, schwannomas of the neck are characterized by thickening of the adjacent nerve. In 2012, Ahuja and Yuen indicated that despite some differences, US cannot reliably distinguish schwannomas of the neck from neurofibromas. However, even mild probe’s pressure obliterates schwannoma’s vascularity, what indicates that neurilemmomas vascularity is very sensitive to pressure.

Shrikrishna et al (2016) published case series which included vagal schwannoma, axillary nerve schwannoma, and cervical sympathetic chain schwannoma. In their article, the preoperative view, computed tomography, intraoperative view, and histopathology are presented, allowing to compare schwannomas derived from different nerves in different locations.

Harazano et al (2022) presented retrospective histopathological analysis of forty schwannomas localized in the oral and maxillofacial region. Among the anatomical areas of the schwannoma cases presented in their study were—tongue (45 percent), lower lip (17.5 percent), hard palate (10 percent), parotid gland (5 percent), buccal mucosa (7.5 percent), mandible (7.5 percent), floor of the mouth (2.5 percent), maxilla (2.5 percent), and cheek (2.5 percent).

The existed and described histopathological variants are: two main patterns (Antoni A and B) (i.e., classic schwanna) and multiple subtypes—ancient, plexiform, cellular, and epithelioid. Ancient (i.e., degenerative) neurilemmomas are uncommon in oral and maxillofacial area. It’s important to know that schwannomas can have pathological characteristics of both main patterns and ancient changes or can show no evidence of Antoni A and B patterns and degenerative subtype. In general, the authors categorized histopathological combinations into 8 variants.

Our case supports the thesis of Winter et al (2020) who emphasized that US is a well-established, non-invasive, and easily repeatable first-line tool in diagnostics of soft tissue tumors.

CONCLUSIONS

Our report demonstrated unique clinical images, sonograms and ultrasound video of schwannoma of the tongue which is macroscopically presented as a bilobed solid well-circumscribed tumor. More ultrasound descriptions of tongue schwannoma cases are needed to create a wider picture of possible echo-patterns of this type of tumors in a tongue organ.
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