Reconstruction of Severely Atrophic Mandible by Installing Dental Implants Using the Modified “Tent Pole” Technique: Case Report*
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ABSTRACT
Summary.
Patients who use full dental prostheses for long periods of time usually experience bone resorption in the alveolar process and in keratinized mucosa. This can cause instability, low prosthetic retention, and subsequent loss of function. Treating a patient with a severely atrophic mandible is quite challenging due to the low bone height and thickness, potentially impairing rehabilitation, aesthetics, and functional recovery. Several techniques are used to reconstruct major vertical defects for the installation of dental implants. Among these is the "tent pole" technique, which features low morbidity and generally produces good results in the form of increased height of the alveolar ridge bone. Herein we describe a patient with a severely atrophic mandible, reconstructed using a plate and dental implants. We employed a modified "tent pole" technique using an autogenous graft of the iliac crest and without use of platelet-rich plasma concentrate. Our results indicate that the modified tent pole technique using the iliac crest graft, and without use of platelet-rich plasma, is a safe and effective method for achieving mandibular reconstruction while restoring function, aesthetics, and the patients’ quality of life.

Introduction
In edentulous patients, prolonged use of removable total dental prostheses may cause reabsorption of the alveolar bone and consequent reduction of the keratinized mucosa. These processes can result in poor prosthetic retention and loss of function. Prosthetic maladjustment and constant trauma to the mucosa may produce pain as well as functional and aesthetic limitations that affect patients’ quality of life [1, 2].

Treatment for a severely atrophic mandible is a major challenge for both the prosthodontist and the maxillofacial surgeon due to the minimal amount of alveolar ridge bone that is generally available. Several techniques have been described that can increase osseous volume, allowing the installation of dental implants [3], however, these techniques are also associated with complications such as extensive osseous reabsorption, pathological fractures, osseous graft failure, recurrent infections, fistulas, chronic pain, and sensory disorders [4].

In 2002, Marx et al [2] described the “tent pole” technique (synonym: tentpole technique) for tissue matrix expansion. This involves installation of 4 to 6 dental implants in patients whose mandibles have less than 6 mm of bone thickness, classified as Cawood-Howell type V1 [1]. Using submandibular access, an autogenous iliac crest graft is used with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) concentrate and dental implants. The tent pole increase can reportedly increase bone height by as much as 10.2 mm [2].

PRP with bone grafts were successfully used in several studies in animals and humans [5-11]. Still other authors have reported successful use of the technique even without PRP [4].

Here in we report a clinical case involving reconstruction of a severely atrophic edentulous mandible through the fixation of a 2.4 mm reconstructive plate and use of a modified tent pole technique without use of PRP [12-16].
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Clinical Case Report

A 71-year-old male patient, diagnosed with leukoderma, presented with complaints of impaired mastication, instability, and an inability to use his lower removable total prosthesis. The patient did not present with any systemic comorbidities. Clinical examination revealed edentulous arches, an atrophic mandible, a small amount of keratinized mucosa, and chronic oral mucosa trauma.

Panoramic jaw radiography and cone beam computed tomography revealed low mandibular bone availability, designated class V according to the classification system proposed by Cawood and Howell [1]. This rendered the patient’s mandible unsuitable for rehabilitation using only integrated bone dental implants (Fig 1).

FIGURE 1. Panoramic preoperative radiograph; note the severe bone reabsorption, classified as type VI, according to Cawood and Howell [1].

The procedure was performed under general anesthesia. Marx et al [2], protocol involving submandibular access with exposure of the mandible and installation of 4 to 6 implants in the anterior region of mandible, between the two mental foramen.

One 2.4 mm reconstructive plate (OsteoMed, Addison, Texas, USA) was installed, followed by mandibular body to body to support the mandibular masticatory load. Two 11 mm implants (Neodent, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil), with 3.0 mm platforms, were centrally mounted followed by another two (Neodent, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil), more distal 13 mm implants with 3.3 mm platforms (Fig 2A). We then removed the right anterior iliac crest graft.

A bone crusher was used to form small bone particles to facilitate adaptation fitting strategically around each dental implant. Both particulate graft and dental implants were covered with two collagen membranes (Geistlich Bio-Gide, Switzerland), the platelet-rich plasma was not use (Fig 2B). Thus surgical approach was synthesized with 4-0 vycril sutures for internal layers and 5-0 nylon sutures for skin. During the period of implant osseointegration and bone graft incorporation, the patient was advised not to use his lower total prosthesis and only consume soft consistency food throughout the postoperative period.

The osseointegration and bone graft incorporation processes took seven months to complete. Following reopening of the implants under local anesthesia, an alveolar crest incision was made to the mental foramen, the mucoperiosteum was then detached and the implant lid screws were removed without any difficulties. Transmucosal cicatrizers were finally installed and the patient was referred for rehabilitation with prosthesis under the implant. At the time of this report, the patient had experienced no adverse effects over the 14 month postoperative period (Fig 3).

Discussion

Although the last few decades have seen great advances in dentistry, the rehabilitation of atrophic mandibles is quite delicate, requiring careful manipulation of remaining tissues in order to improve hard tissue availability. The autogenous bone graft is considered a gold standard technique and holds the advantage of increasing mandibular bone volume, establishing a better relationship between the maxilla and mandible, improving residual alveolar ridge shape, and producing great functional and aesthetic gains following prosthetic treatment [4, 17].
FIGURE 2. A – A 2.4 mm reconstruction plate was installed to the mandibular body, followed by installation of two central implants: an 11 mm implant with 3.0 mm platforms and two distal 13 mm implants with 3.3 mm platforms. B – Adaptation of an autogenous graft (asterisk) of the anterior iliac crest, with two collagen membranes (arrows) covering the graft.
The tent pole technique uses an autogenous iliac crest graft, followed by immediate installation of dental implants. This promotes greater hard tissue availability and soft tissue gains, produces efficient surgical results, and is associated with minimal morbidity [2].

The advantages of this procedure are stable height gains from osseous tissue, a lower risk of mandible fracture, and a successful prosthetic retention. Postoperative infections, mental nerve paresthesias, donor site deformities, and transoperative mandible fractures are complications associated with this procedure.

Ellis and Prince [18] and Eyrich et al [20] reported that installation of a rigid fixation plate associated with a bone graft and followed by the immediate installation of dental implants produced more stable results, compared to the patients who only received bone grafts. This technique may help prevent mandibular fractures from occurring during the intraoperative period, especially when the mandible is less than 10 mm in height and therefore classified as severely atrophic [1, 5].

Marx et al [2] described installation of 4 to 6 implants which were covered with an iliac crest graft and PRP; however, Korpi et al [4] described a series of 22 patients successfully treated with iliac crest grafts and without PRP. Hence, questions surrounding the use of PRP as a component of this protocol remain unresolved.

The tent pole technique is a safe and effective alternative method for reconstruction of severely atrophic mandibles, even without the addition of PRP. This method can help solve functional and aesthetic complaints and improve the patient’s quality of life. Because the use of PRP remains uncertain in the literature, additional double blind and randomized clinical trials are needed to define the role of PRP in these reconstructions.
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